



Criminal Victimization, 2015

Jennifer L. Truman, Ph.D., and Rachel E. Morgan, Ph.D., *BJS Statisticians*


In 2015, U.S. residents age 12 or older experienced an estimated 5.0 million violent victimizations, according to the Bureau of Justice Statistics' (BJS) National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS).^{*} There was no statistically significant change in the rate of overall violent crime, defined as rape or sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, and simple assault, from 2014 (20.1 victimizations per 1,000 persons age 12 and older) to 2015 (18.6 per 1,000) (figure 1). However, the rate of violent crime in 2015 was lower than in 2013 (23.2 per 1,000). From 1993 to 2015, the rate of violent crime declined from 79.8 to 18.6 victimizations per 1,000 persons age 12 or older.

The rates of violent and property crime largely followed similar trends over time. Households in the U.S. experienced an estimated 14.6 million property victimizations in 2015 (see table 3). The overall property crime rate (which includes household burglary, theft, and motor vehicle theft) decreased from 118.1 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 110.7 victimizations per 1,000 in 2015. A decline in theft accounted for most of the decrease in property crime.

^{*}Unless otherwise noted, the findings in this report are at the 95% confidence level.

FIGURE 1
Violent victimization, 1993–2015

Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older



Note: Estimates include 95% confidence intervals. See appendix table 1 for estimates and standard errors.

*See *Criminal Victimization, 2007* (NCJ 224390, BJS web, December 2008) for information on changes in the 2006 NCVS.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 1993–2015.

HIGHLIGHTS

Violent crime

- No statistically significant change occurred in the rate of violent crime from 2014 (20.1 victimizations per 1,000) to 2015 (18.6 per 1,000).
- No statistically significant change was detected in the percentage of violent crime reported to police from 2014 (46%) to 2015 (47%).
- No measureable change was detected in the percentage of violent crime victimizations in which victim services were received from 2014 (10.5%) to 2015 (9.1%).

Property crime

- The rate of property crime decreased from 118.1 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 110.7 per 1,000 in 2015.

Prevalence of crime

- In 2015, 0.98% of all persons age 12 or older (2.7 million persons) experienced at least one violent victimization.
- The prevalence rate of violent victimization declined from 1.11% of all persons age 12 or older in 2014 to 0.98% in 2015.
- In 2015, 7.60% of all households (10 million households) experienced one or more property victimizations.
- The prevalence rate of property victimization declined from 7.99% of all households in 2014 to 7.60% in 2015.

No change was detected in the rate of serious violent crime from 2014 to 2015

There was no statistically significant change in the rate of serious violent crime, defined as rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault, from 2014 (7.7 per 1,000) to 2015 (6.8 per 1,000) (table 1). The rate of aggravated assault had a decline (90% confidence level), from 4.1 victimizations per 1,000 persons in 2014 to 3.0 per 1,000 in 2015. During the same period, the rate of rape or sexual assault increased (90% confidence level), from 1.1 victimizations per 1,000 persons in 2014 to 1.6 per 1,000 in 2015 (see *NCVS measurement of rape and sexual assault in Methodology*). No measurable change was detected in the rate of simple assault from 2014 (12.4 per 1,000) to 2015 (11.8 per 1,000).

No change was detected in the rate of intimate partner violence from 2014 to 2015

The rate of domestic violence, which includes crime committed by intimate partners and family members, was flat from 2014 to 2015. No measurable change was detected from 2014 to 2015 in the rate of intimate partner violence (3.0 per 1,000), which includes victimizations committed by current or former spouses, boyfriends, or girlfriends. However, the number of victimizations committed by an intimate partner increased (90% confidence level) from 2014 to 2015.

The rate of serious violent crime involving weapons had a decline (90% confidence level) from 2014 (4.9 per 1,000) to 2015 (3.6 per 1,000). During the same period, the number of serious violent victimizations committed by a stranger decreased (90% confidence level). No statistically significant difference was found in the rate of serious violent crime resulting in physical injury to the victim from 2014 to 2015 (2.4 per 1,000).

TABLE 1
Violent victimization, by type of violent crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of violent crime	Number		Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Violent crime^a	5,359,570	5,006,620	20.1	18.6
Rape/sexual assault ^b	284,350	431,840 ‡	1.1	1.6 ‡
Robbery	664,210	578,580	2.5	2.1
Assault	4,411,010	3,996,200	16.5	14.8
Aggravated assault	1,092,090	816,760 ‡	4.1	3.0 ‡
Simple assault	3,318,920	3,179,440	12.4	11.8
Domestic violence^c	1,109,880	1,094,660	4.2	4.1
Intimate partner violence ^d	634,610	806,050 ‡	2.4	3.0
Stranger violence	2,166,130	1,821,310	8.1	6.8
Violent crime involving injury	1,375,950	1,303,290	5.2	4.8
Serious violent crime^e	2,040,650	1,827,170	7.7	6.8
Serious domestic violence ^c	400,030	460,450	1.5	1.7
Serious intimate partner violence ^d	265,890	333,210	1.0	1.2
Serious stranger violence	930,690	690,550 ‡	3.5	2.6
Serious violent crime involving weapons	1,306,900	977,840 †	4.9	3.6 ‡
Serious violent crime involving injury	692,470	658,040	2.6	2.4

Note: Detail may not sum to total due to rounding. Total population age 12 or older was 266,665,160 in 2014 and 269,526,470 in 2015. See appendix table 2 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at 90% confidence level.

^aExcludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^bBJS has initiated projects examining collection methods for self-report data on rape and sexual assault. See *NCVS measurement of rape and sexual assault in Methodology* for more information.

^cIncludes victimization committed by intimate partners and family members.

^dIncludes victimization committed by current or former spouses, boyfriends, or girlfriends.


^eIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

Firearm violence declined from 1993 to 2015

From 1993 to 2015, the rate of nonfatal firearm violence declined from 7.3 to 1.1 victimizations per 1,000 persons age 12 or older (figure 2). The majority of the decline in firearm violence occurred between 1994 and 1999. The rate then fluctuated between 1.1 and 2.7 per 1,000 through 2015. An estimated 284,910 nonfatal firearm victimizations occurred in 2015 (appendix table 4). In 2015, 76% of all serious violent crimes that involved a firearm were reported to police.

FIGURE 2
Nonfatal firearm victimization, 1993–2015
 Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older



Note: Estimates include 95% confidence intervals. See appendix table 3 for estimates and standard errors.

*See *Criminal Victimization, 2007* (NCJ 224390, BJS web, December 2008) for information on changes in the 2006 NCVS.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 1993–2015.

The National Crime Victimization Survey

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) collects information on nonfatal crimes reported and not reported to the police against persons age 12 or older from a nationally representative sample of U.S. households. The NCVS was designed to complement official police statistics, such as the FBI’s Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. The UCR includes only crimes reported to police while the NCVS includes crimes that were reported and not reported to police, or the “dark figure of crime.” See page 4 for more information on the differences between the NCVS and UCR.

Initial NCVS interviews are conducted in person with subsequent interviews conducted either in person or by phone. In 2015, the response rate was 82% for households and 86% for eligible persons. The NCVS produces national rates and levels of violent and property victimization, as well as information on the characteristics of crimes and victims and the consequences of victimization. Because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims, it cannot measure homicide.

The NCVS measures the violent crimes of rape or sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, and simple assault. The NCVS classifies rape, sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault

as serious violent crimes. Property crimes include household burglary, motor vehicle theft, and theft. The survey also measures personal larceny, which includes pickpocketing and purse snatching. For additional estimates not included in this report, see the [NCVS Victimization Analysis Tool \(NVAT\)](#) on the BJS website.

Victimization is the basic unit of analysis used throughout most of this report. A victimization is a crime as it affects one person or household. For personal crimes, the number of victimizations is equal to the number of victims present during a criminal incident. The number of victimizations may be greater than the number of incidents because more than one person may be victimized during an incident. Each crime against a household is counted as having a single victim—the affected household.

The victimization rate is a measure of the occurrence of victimizations among a specified population group. For personal crimes, the victimization rate is based on the number of victimizations per 1,000 residents age 12 or older. For household crimes, the victimization rate is calculated using the number of incidents per 1,000 households. Estimates are presented for 2014 and 2015.

From 2014 to 2015, the UCR reported that the number of serious violent crimes increased 4% while NCVS estimates were flat

For 2015, findings from the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program showed a 4% increase in the number of serious violent crimes, compared to 2014 (**table 2**). The Bureau of Justice Statistics' (BJS) National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) did not show a statistically significant change in the number of serious violent victimizations during this same period.

Because the NCVS and UCR measure an overlapping, but not identical, set of offenses and use different methodologies, congruity between the estimates is not expected. Throughout the 40-year history of the NCVS, both programs have generally demonstrated similar year-to-year increases or decreases in the levels of overall violent and property crimes.

The UCR measures the violent crimes of murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. For property crime, the UCR measures burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft. The UCR measures crimes known to law enforcement, occurring against both persons and businesses. The FBI obtains data on crimes from law enforcement agencies, while the NCVS collects data through interviews with victims. Additional information about the differences between the two programs can be found in *The Nation's Two Crime Measures* (NCJ 246832, BJS web, September 2014).

Significant methodological and definitional differences exist between the NCVS and UCR:

- The NCVS obtains estimates of crimes both reported and not reported to police, while the UCR collects data on crimes known to and recorded by law enforcement.
- The UCR includes homicide and commercial crimes, while the NCVS excludes these crime types.
- The UCR excludes simple assault and sexual assault, which are included in the NCVS.¹
- The NCVS estimates are based on data from a nationally representative sample of U.S. households. The UCR percentage changes are based on counts of offenses reported by a large sample of law enforcement agencies.
- The NCVS excludes crimes against children age 11 or younger, persons in institutions (e.g., nursing homes and correctional institutions), and may exclude highly mobile populations and persons who are homeless. Victimizations against these persons are included in the UCR.

¹ Simple assaults include attacks or attempted attacks without a weapon resulting in either no injury or minor injury. Sexual assaults include attacks or attempted attacks generally involving unwanted sexual contact between the victim and offender that may or may not involve force.

Given these differences, the two measures of crime should complement each other and provide a more comprehensive picture of crime in the United States.

From 2014 to 2015, the UCR showed increases in all types of serious violent crimes known to law enforcement. The NCVS showed an increase (90% confidence level) in the number of rape or sexual assaults from 2014 to 2015. However, no other NCVS violent crime types increased during this time. From 2014 to 2015, the UCR showed a decline in overall property crime and the NCVS showed a decline (90% confidence level). Data from both the NCVS and UCR showed a decline in the number of household burglaries that were reported to the police from 2014 to 2015. Both the UCR and total NCVS estimates showed an increase in motor vehicle theft. However, the apparent increase was not statistically significant for the NCVS.

TABLE 2
Percent change in the number of crimes reported in the UCR and the NCVS, 2014–2015

Type of crime	UCR	NCVS	
		Total	Reported to police
Violent crime^a	~	-6.6%	-5.6%
Serious violent crime^b	3.9%	-10.5%	-11.8%
Murder	10.8	~	~
Rape ^c	5.1	51.9 ‡	47.1
Robbery	1.4	-12.9	-11.6
Aggravated assault	4.6	-25.2 ‡	-20.7
Property crime	-2.6%	-4.4% ‡	-10.7% †
Burglary	-7.8	-3.0	-17.9 †
Motor vehicle theft	3.1	5.6	-12.5

~Not applicable.

†Significant change from 2014 to 2015 at the 95% confidence level.

‡Significant change from 2014 to 2015 at the 90% confidence level.

^aNCVS estimates exclude murder, and include simple assault.

^bNCVS measures include rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

^cNCVS estimates include sexual assault. The FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) estimate is based on the revised definition of rape but does not include agencies that used the legacy definition in 2014 and the revised definition in 2015.


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014–2015; and FBI, *Crime in the United States, 2015*, <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2015/crime-in-the-u.s.-2015/tables/table-1>.

Property crime declined from 2014 to 2015

Similar to the trend in the rate of violent crime, the property crime rate declined over the longer term. From 1993 to 2015, the rate of property crime declined from 351.8 to 110.7 victimizations per 1,000 households (figure 3). The majority of this decline occurred from 1993 to 2001.

Following the decline from 2013 to 2014, the rate of property crime victimization decreased again from 2014 (118.1 victimizations per 1,000 households) to 2015 (110.7 per 1,000) (table 3). This recent decline was driven primarily by a decrease in theft. The rate of theft declined from 90.8 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 84.4 per 1,000 in 2015. From 2014 to 2015, no statistically significant change was observed in the rate of burglary or motor vehicle theft.

FIGURE 3
Property victimization, 1993–2015



Note: Estimates include 95% confidence intervals. See appendix table 5 for estimates and standard errors.

*See *Criminal Victimization, 2007* (NCJ 224390, BJS web, December 2008) for information on changes in the 2006 NCVS.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 1993–2015.

TABLE 3
Property victimization, by type of property crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of property crime	Number		Rate per 1,000 households	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Total	15,288,470	14,611,040 ‡	118.1	110.7 †
Burglary	2,993,480	2,904,570	23.1	22.0
Motor vehicle theft	534,370	564,160	4.1	4.3
Theft	11,760,620	11,142,310 †	90.8	84.4 †

Note: Detail may not sum to total due to rounding. Total number of households was 129,492,740 in 2014 and 131,962,260 in 2015. See appendix table 6 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at 90% confidence level.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.


No change was detected in the percentage of violent victimizations reported to police from 1993 to 2015

The NCVS allows for examination of crimes reported and not reported to police. Victims may not report the victimization for a variety of reasons, including fear of reprisal or getting the offender in trouble, believing that police would not or could not do anything to help, and believing the crime to be a personal issue or too trivial to report. Police notification can come from the victim, a third party (including witnesses, other victims, household members, or other officials, such as school officials or workplace managers), or police at the scene of the incident. Police notification may occur during or immediately following a criminal incident or at a later date. The term “police” includes municipal police departments, sheriffs’ offices, or other state or local law enforcement agencies.

The percentage of violent and serious violent victimizations reported to police was generally stable over the 23 years from 1993 to 2015. There was no statistically significant change in the percentage of violent victimizations reported to police from 1993 (42%) to 2015 (47%) (figure 4). There was also no statistically significant change in the percentage of serious violent victimizations reported to police during that same period. More than half of serious violent victimizations were reported to police in 1993 (51%) and 2015 (55%).

The percentage of property victimizations reported to police increased from 1993 (32%) to 2015 (35%). The majority of the increase occurred from 1993 to 2002. From 2003 to 2015, the percentage fluctuated from 34% to 40%.

FIGURE 4
Percent of victimizations reported to police, 1993–2015



Note: Estimates include 95% confidence intervals. See appendix table 7 for estimates and standard errors.

*See *Criminal Victimization, 2007* (NCJ 224390, BJS web, December 2008) for information on changes in the 2006 NCVS.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 1993–2015.

In 2015, 47% of violent victimizations were reported to police

From 2014 to 2015, no statistically significant change was detected in the percentage of violent and serious violent victimizations reported to police (table 4). In 2015, a greater percentage of robberies (62%) and aggravated assaults (62%) were reported to police than simple assaults (42%) and rape or sexual assaults (32%). The percentage of stranger violence reported to police (42%) was lower than the percentage of domestic violence (58%) and lower (90% confidence level) than intimate partner violence (54%) reported to police in 2015.

From 2014 to 2015, the percentage of total property victimizations reported to police decreased from 37% to 35%. The percentage of household burglaries reported to police also decreased from 60% in 2014 to 51% in 2015. The percentage of motor vehicle thefts reported to police decreased from 83% to 69% during the same period. There was no statistically significant change in the percentage of thefts reported to police from 2014 to 2015.

TABLE 4
Percent of victimizations reported to police, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	2014*	2015
Violent crime^a	46.0%	46.5%
Rape/sexual assault ^b	33.6	32.5
Robbery	60.9	61.9
Assault	44.6	45.8
Aggravated assault	58.4	61.9
Simple assault	40.0	41.7
Domestic violence ^c	56.1	57.7
Intimate partner violence ^d	57.9	54.1
Stranger violence	48.8	42.1
Violent crime involving injury	54.9	57.0
Serious violent crime^e	55.8%	54.9%
Serious domestic violence ^c	60.0	60.8
Serious intimate partner violence ^d	56.7	49.6
Serious stranger violence	65.4	54.3
Serious violent crime involving weapons	57.6	56.3
Serious violent crime involving injury	61.0	59.0
Property crime	37.0%	34.6% †
Burglary	60.0	50.8 †
Motor vehicle theft	83.3	69.0 †
Theft	29.0	28.6

Note: See appendix table 8 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at 95% confidence level.

^aExcludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^bBJS has initiated projects examining collection methods for self-report data on rape and sexual assault. See *NCVS measurement of rape and sexual assault in Methodology* for more information.

^cIncludes victimization committed by intimate partners and family members.

^dIncludes victimization committed by current or former spouses, boyfriends, or girlfriends.

^eIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

Rates of property crime reported to police declined from 2014 to 2015

From 2014 to 2015, the rate of stranger violence reported to police had a decline (90% confidence level) from 4.0 victimizations per 1,000 persons to 2.8 per 1,000 (table 5). The rate of serious stranger violence reported to police declined from 2.3 victimizations per 1,000 persons in 2014 to 1.4 per 1,000 in 2015. There were no measurable differences from 2014 to 2015 in rates of overall violent crime or serious violent crime reported to police.

In comparison, overall rates of property crime reported to police declined from 2014 to 2015. The overall rate of property crime reported to police decreased from 43.7 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 38.3 per 1,000 in 2015. This was driven primarily by a decline in household burglary

reported to police (13.9 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 11.2 per 1,000 in 2015). The rate of theft victimizations reported to police also declined (90% confidence level) from 2014 (26.4 victimizations per 1,000 households) to 2015 (24.1 per 1,000).

The rate of unreported property crime remained flat from 2014 to 2015. The rates of household burglary and motor vehicle theft not reported to police increased from 2014 to 2015. The rate of household burglary not reported to police increased from 8.8 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 10.5 per 1,000 in 2015. The rate of motor vehicle theft not reported to police increased from 0.7 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 1.3 per 1,000 in 2015. The rate of unreported theft victimizations had a decline (90% confidence level) from 63.3 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 59.5 per 1,000 in 2015.

TABLE 5
Rate of victimizations reported and not reported to police, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	Reported to police		Not reported to police	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Violent crime^a	9.2	8.6	10.5	9.5
Rape/sexual assault ^b	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.1
Robbery	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.8
Assault	7.4	6.8	8.9	7.6
Aggravated assault	2.4	1.9	1.7	1.1
Simple assault	5.0	4.9	7.2	6.5
Domestic violence ^c	2.3	2.3	1.7	1.7
Intimate partner violence ^d	1.4	1.6	0.9	1.4
Stranger violence	4.0	2.8 ‡	4.1	3.6
Violent crime involving injury	2.8	2.8	2.3	2.0
Serious violent crime^e	4.3	3.7	3.3	3.0
Serious domestic violence ^c	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.7
Serious intimate partner violence ^d	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6
Serious stranger violence	2.3	1.4 †	1.2	1.1
Serious violent crime involving weapons	2.8	2.0	2.0	1.5
Serious violent crime involving injury	1.6	1.4	1.0	1.0
Property crime	43.7	38.3 †	72.8	71.3
Burglary	13.9	11.2 †	8.8	10.5 †
Motor vehicle theft	3.4	3.0	0.7	1.3 †
Theft	26.4	24.1 ‡	63.3	59.5 ‡

Note: Victimization rates are per 1,000 persons age 12 or older for violent crime or per 1,000 households for property crime. Excludes victimizations in which it was unknown whether the victimization was reported to police. See appendix table 9 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at the 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at the 90% confidence level.

^aExcludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^bBJS has initiated projects examining collection methods for self-report data on rape and sexual assault. See *NCVS measurement of rape and sexual assault in Methodology* for more information.

^cIncludes victimization committed by intimate partners and family members.

^dIncludes victimization committed by current or former spouses, boyfriends, or girlfriends.

^eIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

From 2014 to 2015, no change was detected in the percentage of violent victimizations in which assistance from a victim service agency was received

Victim service agencies are publicly or privately funded organizations that provide victims with support and services to aid their physical and emotional recovery, offer protection from future victimizations, guide them through the criminal justice system process, and assist them in obtaining restitution. Victims may not receive services because they do not seek or ask for assistance, do not qualify, or services may not be available. From 2014 to 2015, no significant change was detected in the overall percentage of violent crime victimizations where assistance from a victim service agency was received (9.1%) (table 6). The percentage of simple assault victimizations in which assistance from a victim service agency was received declined (90% confidence level) from 9.5% in 2014 to 6.0% in 2015. The percentage of intimate partner violence where assistance was received from a victim service agency also had a decline (90% confidence level) from 28.2% to 18.3%. The only increase from 2014 to 2015 in the percentage of violent crime victimizations where assistance was received from a victim service agency was for violent crime victimizations in which a weapon was involved. The percentage of these victimizations increased from 7.2% in 2014 to 15.0% in 2015.

The rate of violent victimization committed against males decreased from 2014 to 2015

The rate of violent and serious violent victimization committed against males decreased from 2014 to 2015 (table 7). Among males, the rate of violent victimization decreased from 21.1 victimizations per 1,000 males in 2014 to 15.9 per 1,000 in 2015. With this decline, the violent victimization rate for males dropped below that for females (21.1 per 1,000) in 2015. The rate of serious violent victimization for males decreased from 8.3 per 1,000 in 2014 to 5.4 per 1,000 in 2015. No statistically significant difference was detected in the rate of violent or serious violent victimizations committed against females from 2014 to 2015.

From 2014 to 2015, the violent victimization rate for persons ages 25 to 34 declined (90% confidence level) from 28.5 victimizations per 1,000 persons to 21.8 per 1,000. The violent victimization rate for persons age 65 or older had an increase (90% confidence level) from 3.1 victimizations per 1,000 persons in 2014 to 5.2 per 1,000 in 2015. No age groups had a measurable change in rates of serious violent victimization from 2014 to 2015. In 2015, persons age 65 or older had a lower rate of violent victimization (5.2 per 1,000) than persons in any other age group studied.

From 2014 to 2015, there were no statistically significant differences in the rates of violent or serious violent crime by victim race or Hispanic origin, marital status, or household income.

TABLE 6
Percent of violent victimizations in which assistance from a victim service agency was received, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	2014*	2015
Violent crime^a	10.5%	9.1%
Serious violent crime ^b	12.3	14.4
Simple assault	9.5	6.0‡
Intimate partner violence^c	28.2%	18.3% ‡
Violent crime involving injury	14.9%	16.9%
Violent crime involving weapon	7.2%	15.0% †

Note: See appendix table 10 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at the 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at the 90% confidence level.

^aIncludes rape or sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, and simple assault. Excludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^bIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

^cIncludes victimization committed by current or former spouses, boyfriends, or girlfriends.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

TABLE 7**Rate of violent victimization, by victim demographic characteristics, 2014 and 2015**

Victim demographic characteristic	Violent crime ^a		Serious violent crime ^b	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Total	20.1	18.6	7.7	6.8
Sex				
Male	21.1	15.9 †	8.3	5.4 †
Female	19.1	21.1	7.0	8.1
Race/Hispanic origin				
White ^c	20.3	17.4	7.0	6.0
Black ^c	22.5	22.6	10.1	8.4
Hispanic	16.2	16.8	8.3	7.1
Other ^{c,d}	23.0	25.7	7.7	10.4
Age				
12–17	30.1	31.3	8.8	7.8
18–24	26.8	25.1	13.6	10.7
25–34	28.5	21.8 ‡	8.6	9.3
35–49	21.6	22.6	8.9	7.8
50–64	17.9	14.2	7.0	5.7
65 or older	3.1	5.2 ‡	1.3	1.5
Marital status				
Never married	27.9	26.2	10.7	9.4
Married	12.4	9.9	4.0	3.5
Widowed	8.7	8.5	2.9	2.9
Divorced	30.3	35.3	14.2	13.0
Separated	52.8	39.5	27.7	20.6
Household income^e				
\$9,999 or less	39.7	39.2	18.7	17.7
\$10,000–\$14,999	36.0	27.7	16.8	12.0
\$15,000–\$24,999	25.3	25.9	8.4	8.2
\$25,000–\$34,999	19.7	16.3	8.3	5.5
\$35,000–\$49,999	19.0	20.5	8.1	7.1
\$50,000–\$74,999	16.4	16.3	5.4	5.9
\$75,000 or more	15.1	12.8	4.7	4.5

Note: Victimization rates are per 1,000 persons age 12 or older. See appendix table 11 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at the 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at the 90% confidence level.

^aIncludes rape or sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, and simple assault. Excludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^bIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

^cExcludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

^dIncludes American Indian and Alaska Natives; Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Other Pacific Islanders; and persons of two or more races.

^eHousehold income was imputed for 2014 and 2015. See *Methodology*.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

Property crime declined in urban areas and the South from 2014 to 2015

From 2014 to 2015, there were no significant differences in rates of violence or serious violence by region (table 8). There were also no significant differences detected in rates of violence across any of the four regions in 2015. The rate of serious violence was higher in the West (8.8 victimizations per 1,000) than the Northeast (5.1 per 1,000) and higher (90% confidence level) than the South (5.8 per 1,000) in 2015.

Rates of violence and serious violence were flat across urban, suburban, and rural areas from 2014 to 2015. In 2015, the rate of violent crime in urban areas (22.7 victimizations per 1,000 persons) was higher than the rate in suburban (17.3 per 1,000) and rural (14.0 per 1,000) areas. The rate of serious violence was higher in urban areas (8.6 per 1,000) than the rate in rural areas (4.2 per 1,000) in 2015.

There was no statistically significant change in the rates of property crime in the Northeast, Midwest, and West from 2014 to 2015. In the South, the property crime rate declined from 116.2 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 107.6 per 1,000 in 2015. As in 2014, property crime rates were highest in the West (144.7 victimizations per 1,000 households) and lowest in the Northeast (81.6 per 1,000) in 2015.

Property crime rates declined in urban areas from 2014 to 2015, but did not change significantly in suburban and rural areas. The rate of property crime in urban areas declined from 148.8 victimizations per 1,000 households in 2014 to 135.4 per 1,000 in 2015. In 2015, urban areas (135.4 per 1,000) had a higher rate of property crime victimization than suburban (98.4 per 1,000) and rural (95.7 per 1,000) areas.

TABLE 8
Rate of violent and property victimization, by household location, 2014 and 2015

Household location	Violent crime ^a		Serious violent crime ^b		Property crime ^c	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Total	20.1	18.6	7.7	6.8	118.1	110.7 †
Region						
Northeast	18.9	17.1	6.2	5.1	85.8	81.6
Midwest	20.6	19.6	7.5	7.5	111.8	105.0
South	20.2	16.9	7.6	5.8	116.2	107.6 †
West	20.3	21.3	8.9	8.8	153.0	144.7
Location of residence						
Urban	22.2	22.7	9.3	8.6	148.8	135.4 †
Suburban	19.3	17.3	6.9	6.3	101.7	98.4
Rural	18.3	14.0	6.5	4.2	103.2	95.7

Note: Victimization rates are per 1,000 persons age 12 or older for violent crime and per 1,000 households for property crime. See appendix table 12 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at the 95% confidence level.

^aIncludes rape or sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, and simple assault. Excludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^bIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

^cIncludes household burglary, motor vehicle theft, and theft.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

Prevalence of crime

Annual estimates of a population's risk for criminal victimization can be examined using victimization rates or prevalence rates. Historically, the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) reports that use the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) data rely on victimization rates, which measure the extent to which victimizations occur in a specified population during a specific time. Victimization rates are used throughout this report. For crimes affecting persons, NCVS victimization rates are estimated by dividing the number of victimizations that occur during a specified time (T) by the population at risk for those victimizations and multiplying the rate by 1,000.

$$\text{Victimization rate}_T = \frac{\text{Number of victimizations experienced by a specified population}_T}{\text{Number of persons in the specified population}_T} \times 1,000$$

Prevalence rates also describe the level of victimization but are based on the number of unique persons (or households) in the population who experienced at least one victimization during a specified time. The key distinction between a victimization rate and a prevalence rate is whether the numerator consists of the number of victimizations or the number of victims. For example, a person who experienced two robberies on separate occasions

within the past year would be counted twice in the victimization rate but counted once in the prevalence rate. Prevalence rates are estimated by dividing the number of victims in the specified population by the total number of persons in the population and multiplying the rate by 100. This is the percentage of the population victimized at least once in a given period.

$$\text{Prevalence rate}_T = \frac{\text{Number of victims in a specified population}_T}{\text{Number of persons in the specified population}_T} \times 100$$

Victimization and prevalence rates may also be produced for household crimes, such as burglary. In these instances, the numerators and denominators are adjusted to reflect households rather than persons. To better understand the percentage of the population that is victimized at least once in a given period, prevalence rates are presented by type of crime and certain demographic characteristics. (For further information about measuring prevalence in the NCVS, see *Measuring the Prevalence of Crime with the National Crime Victimization Survey*, NCJ 241656, BJS web, September 2013).

Continued on next page

Prevalence of crime (continued)

In 2015, an estimated 1% of all persons age 12 or older experienced violence

In 2015, 0.98% of all persons age 12 or older (2.7 million persons) experienced at least one violent victimization (table 9). The prevalence rate of violent victimization declined from 1.11% in 2014 to 0.98% in 2015. During the same period, an estimated 0.41% of all persons age 12 or older (1.1 million persons) experienced at least one serious violent victimization (rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault). No measurable change was detected in the prevalence rate of serious violent victimization from 2014 to 2015. During the same period, the prevalence rate of aggravated assault declined (90% confidence level) from an estimated 0.26% to 0.21%.

The prevalence rate of domestic violence had a decline (90% confidence level) from 2014 (0.22%) to 2015 (0.18%). No measurable change occurred in the prevalence rate of intimate

partner violence from 2014 to 2015 (0.12%). The prevalence of serious violence committed by strangers declined from 2014 (0.23%) to 2015 (0.18%). During the same period, the prevalence rate of serious violence involving weapons declined from 0.31% to 0.24%.

In 2015, 7.60% of all households (10.0 million households) experienced one or more property victimization. The prevalence rate of property victimization declined from 7.99% in 2014 to 7.60% in 2015. During the same period, the prevalence of theft also declined (6.41% to 6.02%). No measurable change occurred in the prevalence rates of burglary and motor vehicle theft from 2014 to 2015. Similar to the property victimization rate, the decline in the prevalence rate of theft accounted for the majority of the decline in the prevalence rate of property victimization.

TABLE 9
Number of victims and prevalence rate, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	Number of persons victimized ^a		Prevalence rate ^b	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Violent crime^c	2,948,540	2,650,670 ‡	1.11%	0.98% †
Rape/sexual assault ^d	150,420	204,000	0.06	0.08
Robbery	435,830	375,280	0.16	0.14
Assault	2,449,820	2,175,520 †	0.92	0.81 †
Aggravated assault	681,280	560,720 ‡	0.26	0.21 ‡
Simple assault	1,842,100	1,690,190	0.69	0.63
Domestic violence ^e	596,270	493,310 ‡	0.22	0.18 ‡
Intimate partner violence ^f	319,950	310,090	0.12	0.12
Stranger violence	1,274,100	1,117,340 ‡	0.48	0.41 ‡
Violent crime involving injury	856,760	778,300	0.32	0.29
Serious violent crime^g	1,235,290	1,099,400	0.46%	0.41%
Serious domestic violence ^e	239,330	212,690	0.09	0.08
Serious intimate partner violence ^f	128,090	141,530	0.05	0.05
Serious stranger violence	600,650	479,870 †	0.23	0.18 †
Serious violent crime involving weapons	815,380	644,370 †	0.31	0.24 †
Serious violent crime involving injury	440,690	399,360	0.17	0.15
Property crime	10,352,520	10,030,500	7.99%	7.60% †
Burglary	2,166,890	2,175,380	1.67	1.65
Motor vehicle theft	429,840	465,650	0.33	0.35
Theft	8,297,290	7,941,030	6.41	6.02 †

Note: Detail may not sum to total because a person or household may experience multiple types of crime. See appendix table 13 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at 90% confidence level.

^aNumber of persons age 12 or older who experienced at least one victimization during the year for violent crime, and number of households that experienced at least one victimization during the year for property crime.

^bPercent of persons age 12 or older who experienced at least one victimization during the year for violent crime, and percent of households that experienced at least one victimization during the year for property crime.

^cExcludes homicide because the NCVS is based on interviews with victims and therefore cannot measure murder.

^dBJS has initiated projects examining collection methods for self-report data on rape and sexual assault. See *NCVS measurement of rape and sexual assault in Methodology* for more information.

^eIncludes victimization committed by intimate partners and family members.

^fIncludes victimization committed by current or former spouses, boyfriends, or girlfriends.

^gIn the NCVS, serious violent crime includes rape or sexual assault, robbery, and aggravated assault.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 2014 and 2015.

Continued on next page

Prevalence of crime (continued)

Prevalence of violent crime among males declined from 2014 to 2015

In 2015, 0.94% of all males age 12 or older (1.2 million males) and 1.03% of all females age 12 or older (1.4 million females) experienced one or more violent victimizations (table 10). There was no significant difference in the prevalence rates for males and females in 2015. The prevalence rate for males declined from 2014 (1.15%) to 2015 (0.94%), while no measurable change was detected in the prevalence rate for females in that timeframe.

No measurable change occurred in the prevalence rates of violent crime for non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks, or Hispanics from 2014 to 2015. While there were more than four times more white victims (1.7 million) than black victims (394,770) in 2015, blacks (1.19%) had a higher prevalence rate (90% confidence level) than whites (0.96%). Similar to 2014, there was no significant difference in Hispanic (0.93%) and white (0.96%) prevalence rate in 2015.

The prevalence of violent crime declined for persons ages 25 to 34 from 2014 (1.51%) to 2015 (1.09%). No measurable change was detected in the prevalence rates for all other age groups studied. In 2015, persons ages 12 to 17 (1.64%) and 18 to 24 (1.46%) had the highest prevalence of violence of all age groups. Persons age 65 or older had the lowest prevalence rate with 0.29% of the population experiencing one or more violent crimes.

For married persons, the prevalence of violence declined (90% confidence level) from 2014 (0.63%) to 2015 (0.54%). The prevalence of violence for persons who were separated declined from 2.99% in 2014 to 1.65% in 2015. Persons who were never married had a higher prevalence rate of violence (1.44%) than persons who were married (0.54%) or widowed (0.62%) in 2015.

TABLE 10
Prevalence of violent crime, by victim demographic characteristics, 2014 and 2015

Victim demographic characteristic	Number of persons victimized ^a		Prevalence rate ^b	
	2014*	2015	2014*	2015
Total	2,948,540	2,650,670 ‡	1.11%	0.98% †
Sex				
Male	1,497,430	1,227,870 †	1.15%	0.94% †
Female	1,451,110	1,422,800	1.06	1.03
Race/Hispanic origin				
White ^c	1,848,860	1,667,090	1.06%	0.96%
Black ^c	453,650	394,770	1.38	1.19
Hispanic	457,320	400,720	1.11	0.93
Other ^{c,d}	188,710	188,090	1.00	0.94
Age				
12–17	422,460	407,850	1.68%	1.64%
18–24	478,740	445,760	1.58	1.46
25–34	650,560	476,630 †	1.51	1.09 †
35–49	703,980	686,380	1.16	1.13
50–64	579,770	497,800	0.93	0.79
65 or older	113,030	136,250	0.25	0.29
Marital status				
Never married	1,482,570	1,343,010	1.61%	1.44%
Married	806,200	692,470 ‡	0.63	0.54 ‡
Widowed	77,420	92,330	0.53	0.62
Divorced	410,540	428,830	1.58	1.58
Separated	151,630	84,370 †	2.99	1.65 †

Note: Detail may not sum to total due to rounding. See appendix table 14 for standard errors.

*Comparison year.

†Significant difference from comparison year at the 95% confidence level.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at the 90% confidence level.

^aNumber of persons age 12 or older who experienced at least one victimization during the year for violent crime.

^bPercent of persons age 12 or older who experienced at least one victimization during the year for violent crime.

^cExcludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

^dIncludes American Indian and Alaska Natives; Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Other Pacific Islanders; and persons of two or more races.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

Methodology

Survey coverage

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) is an annual data collection conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau for the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). The NCVS is a self-report survey in which interviewed persons are asked about the number and characteristics of victimizations experienced during the prior 6 months. The NCVS collects information on nonfatal personal crimes (rape or sexual assault, robbery, aggravated and simple assault, and personal larceny) and household property crimes (burglary, motor vehicle theft, and other theft) both reported and not reported to police. In addition to providing annual level and change estimates on criminal victimization, the NCVS is the primary source of information on the nature of criminal victimization incidents.

Survey respondents provide information about themselves (e.g., age, sex, race and Hispanic origin, marital status, education level, and income) and whether they experienced a victimization. For each victimization incident, the NCVS collects information about the offender (e.g., age, race and Hispanic origin, sex, and victim-offender relationship), characteristics of the crime (including time and place of occurrence, use of weapons, nature of injury, and economic consequences), whether the crime was reported to police, reasons the crime was or was not reported, and victim experiences with the criminal justice system.

The NCVS is administered to persons age 12 or older from a nationally representative sample of households in the United States. The NCVS defines a household as a group of persons who all reside at a sampled address. Persons are considered household members when the sampled address is their usual place of residence at the time of the interview and when they have no usual place of residence elsewhere. Once selected, households remain in the sample for 3 years, and eligible persons in these households are interviewed every 6 months either in person or over the phone for a total of seven interviews.

All first interviews are conducted in person with subsequent interviews conducted either in person or by phone. New households rotate into the sample on an ongoing basis to replace outgoing households that have been in the sample for the 3-year period. The sample includes persons living in group quarters, such as dormitories, rooming houses, and religious group dwellings, and excludes persons living in military barracks and institutional settings such as correctional or hospital facilities, and persons who are homeless.

Nonresponse and weighting adjustments

In 2015, 95,760 households and 163,880 persons age 12 or older were interviewed for the NCVS. Each household was interviewed twice during the year. The response rate was 82% for households and 86% for eligible persons.

Victimizations that occurred outside of the United States were excluded from this report. In 2015, less than 1% of the unweighted victimizations occurred outside of the United States and were excluded from the analyses.

Estimates in this report use data from the 1993 to 2015 NCVS data files, weighted to produce annual estimates of victimization for persons age 12 or older living in U.S. households. Because the NCVS relies on a sample rather than a census of the entire U.S. population, weights are designed to inflate sample point estimates to known population totals and to compensate for survey nonresponse and other aspects of the sample design.

The NCVS data files include both person and household weights. Person weights provide an estimate of the population represented by each person in the sample. Household weights provide an estimate of the U.S. household population represented by each household in the sample. After proper adjustment, both household and person weights are also typically used to form the denominator in calculations of crime rates.

Victimization weights used in this analysis account for the number of persons present during an incident and for high-frequency repeat victimizations (i.e., series victimizations). Series victimizations are similar in type but occur with such frequency that a victim is unable to recall each individual event or describe each event in detail. Survey procedures allow NCVS interviewers to identify and classify these similar victimizations as series victimizations and to collect detailed information on only the most recent incident in the series.

The weight counts series incidents as the actual number of incidents reported by the victim, up to a maximum of 10 incidents. Including series victimizations in national rates results in large increases in the level of violent victimization. However, trends in violent crime are generally similar, regardless of whether series victimizations are included. In 2015, series incidents accounted for about 1% of all victimizations and 4% of all violent victimizations. Weighting series incidents as the number of incidents up to a maximum of 10 incidents produces more reliable estimates of crime levels, while the cap at 10 minimizes the effect of extreme outliers on rates. Additional information on the series enumeration is detailed in the report *Methods for Counting High-Frequency Repeat Victimizations in the National Crime Victimization Survey* (NCJ 237308, BJS web, April 2012).

Standard error computations

When national estimates are derived from a sample, as with the NCVS, caution must be used when comparing one estimate to another estimate or when comparing estimates over time. Although one estimate may be larger than another, estimates based on a sample have some degree of sampling error. The sampling error of an estimate depends on several factors, including the amount of variation in the responses and the size

of the sample. When the sampling error around an estimate is taken into account, the estimates that appear different may not be statistically different.

One measure of the sampling error associated with an estimate is the standard error. The standard error can vary from one estimate to the next. Generally, an estimate with a small standard error provides a more reliable approximation of the true value than an estimate with a large standard error. Estimates with relatively large standard errors are associated with less precision and reliability and should be interpreted with caution.

To generate standard errors around numbers and estimates from the NCVS, the Census Bureau produced generalized variance function (GVF) parameters for BJS. The GVFs take into account aspects of the NCVS complex sample design and represent the curve fitted to a selection of individual standard errors based on the Jackknife Repeated Replication technique. The GVF parameters were used to generate standard errors for each point estimate (e.g., counts, percentages, and rates) in this report.

BJS conducted tests to determine whether differences in estimated numbers, percentages, and rates in this report were statistically significant once sampling error was taken into account. Using statistical programs developed specifically for the NCVS, all comparisons in the text were tested for significance. The primary test procedure was the Student's t-statistic, which tests the difference between two sample estimates. Unless otherwise noted, the findings described in this report as higher, lower, or different passed a test at the 0.05 level of statistical significance (95% confidence level). Findings that passed a test at the 0.10 level of significance are noted as such in the text, i.e. (90% confidence level). Caution is required when comparing estimates not explicitly discussed in this report.

Data users can use the estimates and the standard errors of the estimates provided in this report to generate a confidence interval around the estimate as a measure of the margin of error. The following example illustrates how standard errors can be used to generate confidence intervals:

According to the NCVS, in 2015, the violent victimization rate among persons age 12 or older was 18.6 per 1,000 persons (see table 1). Using the GVFs, it was determined that the estimated victimization rate has a standard error of 1.16 (see appendix table 2). A confidence interval around the estimate was generated by multiplying the standard errors by ± 1.96 (the t-score of a normal, two-tailed distribution that

excludes 2.5% at either end of the distribution). Therefore, the 95% confidence interval around the 18.6 estimate from 2015 is $18.6 \pm (1.16 \times 1.96)$ or (16.31 to 20.85). In other words, if different samples using the same procedures were taken from the U.S. population in 2015, 95% of the time the violent victimization rate would fall between 16.3 and 20.8 per 1,000 persons.

In this report, BJS also calculated a coefficient of variation (CV) for all estimates, representing the ratio of the standard error to the estimate. CVs provide a measure of reliability and a means for comparing the precision of estimates across measures with differing levels or metrics.

NCVS measurement of rape and sexual assault

Definition of rape and sexual assault

The measurement of rape and sexual assault presents many challenges. Victims may not be willing to reveal or share their experiences with an interviewer. The level and type of sexual violence reported by victims is sensitive to a variety of factors related to the interview process, including how items are worded, what definitions are used, and the data collection mode. In addition, the legal definitions of rape and sexual assault vary across jurisdictions.

For the NCVS, survey respondents are asked to respond to a series of questions about the nature and characteristics of their victimization. The NCVS classifies victimizations as rape or sexual assault even if other crimes, such as robbery or assault, occurred at the same time. Then, the NCVS uses the following rape and sexual assault definitions:

Rape is the unlawful penetration of a person against the will of the victim, with use or threatened use of force, or attempting such an act. Rape includes psychological coercion and physical force, and forced sexual intercourse means vaginal, anal, or oral penetration by the offender. Rape also includes incidents where penetration is from a foreign object (e.g., a bottle), victimizations against male and female victims, and both heterosexual and homosexual rape. Attempted rape includes verbal threats of rape.

Sexual assault is defined across a wide range of victimizations, separate from rape or attempted rape. These crimes include attacks or attempted attacks generally involving unwanted sexual contact between a victim and offender. Sexual assault may or may not involve force and includes grabbing or fondling.

While the change in the rape or sexual assault rate from 2014 to 2015 is significantly different at the 90% confidence level, care should be taken in interpreting this change because the estimates of rape or sexual assault are based on a small number of cases reported to the survey. Therefore, small absolute changes and fluctuations in the rates of victimization can result in larger year-to-year change estimates. For 2015, the estimate of rape or sexual assault is based on 103 unweighted cases, compared to 69 unweighted cases in 2014 (table 11).

From 2006 to 2015, 28% of NCVS rape and sexual assault victimizations were classified as completed rape (table 12). Attempted rape or other sexual assault accounted for nearly 50% of rape or sexual assault victimizations. About 1 in 5 (17%) were verbal threats of rape or sexual assault.

TABLE 11
Rape and sexual assault victimizations, 2014 and 2015

Rape/sexual assault	2014*	2015
Number	284,350	431,840 ‡
95% confidence interval		
Lower bound	189,088	305,393
Upper bound	379,612	558,287
Standard error	48,603	64,514
Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older	1.1	1.6 ‡
95% confidence interval		
Lower bound	0.71	1.13
Upper bound	1.42	2.07
Standard error	0.18	0.24
Unweighted cases	69	103

*Comparison year.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at 90% confidence level.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

TABLE 12
Distribution of types of rape and sexual assault victimizations, 2006–2015

Type of rape/sexual assault	Percent
Total	100%
Completed rape	28
Attempted rape	21
Sexual assault	27
Unwanted sexual contact without force	6
Verbal threats of rape and sexual assault	17

Note: See appendix table 15 for standard errors.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2006–2015.

Efforts to improve the measurement of rape and sexual assault

Over the past several decades, a number of other surveys have also been used to study rape and sexual assault. However, challenges exist in the collection of self-report data on rape and sexual assault from the general population. BJS estimates of rape and sexual assault from the NCVS have typically been lower than estimates derived from other federal and private surveys. Some of the differences in these estimates result from varying definitions of rape and sexual assault. The NCVS definition is shaped from a criminal justice perspective and includes threatened, attempted, and completed rape and sexual assault against males and females. In addition to differences in defining rape and sexual assault victimization, the methodology used to elicit reports of these events can differ dramatically and produce very different estimates of the incidence of these crimes. For further information, see *Rape and Sexual Assault Victimization Among College-Age Females, 1995–2013* (NCJ 248471, BJS web, December 2014).

BJS has initiated projects to identify, develop, and test the best methods for collecting self-report data on rape and sexual assault. In June 2011, BJS charged an expert panel from the National Research Council’s Committee on National Statistics (CNSTAT) to examine conceptual and methodological issues surrounding survey statistics on rape and sexual assault and to recommend to BJS the best methods for obtaining such statistics on an ongoing basis. In September 2011, BJS made a competitive award to Westat, Inc., to develop and test two different survey designs for collecting self-report data on rape and sexual assault. One is an optimal design identified by the CNSTAT panel and the other is similar to designs used in the public health approach for measuring rape and sexual assault. Estimates from these two designs will be compared to data from the NCVS. Additionally, BJS conducted the Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS) in 2015 (See *Campus Climate Survey Validation Study Final Technical Report*, NCJ 249545, BJS web, January 2016). The study aimed to develop and test a survey instrument and methodology for efficiently collecting valid school-level data on campus climate and sexual violence. More information on BJS’s active research program on the collection of rape and sexual assault data can be found on the [BJS website](#).

Methodological changes to the NCVS in 2006

Methodological changes implemented in 2006 may have affected the crime estimates for that year to such an extent that they are not comparable to estimates from other years. Previous *Criminal Victimization* reports have included a 10-year comparison, in addition to the annual change. However, because the 10-year comparison for this year would be 2006, this report does not include a 10-year comparison. Evaluation of 2007 and later data from the NCVS conducted by BJS and the Census Bureau found a high degree of confidence that estimates for 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 are consistent with and comparable to estimates for 2005 and previous years. The reports are available on the BJS website:

- *Criminal Victimization, 2006* (NCJ 219413, December 2007)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2007* (NCJ 224390, December 2008)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2008* (NCJ 227777, September 2009)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2009* (NCJ 231327, October 2010)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2010* (NCJ 235508, September 2011)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2011* (NCJ 239437, October 2012)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2012* (NCJ 243389, October 2013)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2013* (NCJ 247648, September 2014)
- *Criminal Victimization, 2014* (NCJ 248973, August 2015)

Imputation of household income

The NCVS asks the reference person of a household about the household's income level during the first interview and every odd-numbered interview after that. When household income is not asked, the income from the previous interview is carried forward. When included, respondents are asked to choose a household income from 1 of 14 categories (the highest category is an income of \$75,000 or more).

Respondents are asked to indicate a range for their income rather than a specific amount. These procedures are intended to minimize the burden and intrusiveness respondents may feel about providing their income. However, even with these procedures, an average of 32% of households from 2014 to 2015 did not provide a household income when asked. To effectively analyze criminal victimization, household income needed to be imputed when a household did not provide a value. As detailed in the report, *Imputing NCVS Income Data* (NCJ 248563, BJS web, January 2015), a household's missing income category was imputed using a hot deck approach that relied on one of two methods, depending on the household's previous income response status.

When a household provided a household income category in a previous interview, the previous income value was used to predict the household's current income level. When a household had never provided a household income value, the distribution of household income among respondents from the interview period of interest was used to predict the household's current income level. Because these procedures require linking households across their interview waves, the imputation process could only be implemented starting in 2008. In 2006, the scrambled control numbers the U.S. Census Bureau used to identify households were changed to account for the phase-in of the 2000 Census primary sampling units. Therefore, 2007 was used as a base year from which households in existing sample rotation groups could be linked in 2008 and beyond.

APPENDIX TABLE 1**Estimates and standard errors for figure 1: Violent victimization, 1993–2015**

Year	Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older	Standard error	95% confidence interval	
			Lower bound	Upper bound
1993	79.8	2.93	74.02	85.51
1994	80.0	2.72	74.70	85.37
1995	70.7	2.41	65.96	75.40
1996	64.7	2.63	59.56	69.88
1997	61.1	2.78	55.61	66.52
1998	54.1	2.61	49.01	59.26
1999	47.2	2.34	42.61	51.80
2000	37.5	1.98	33.60	41.38
2001	32.6	1.67	29.35	35.88
2002	32.1	2.07	28.01	36.11
2003	32.1	1.68	28.79	35.39
2004	27.8	1.34	25.19	30.46
2005	28.4	1.63	25.21	31.62
2006	34.1	1.87	30.44	37.76
2007	27.2	1.55	24.18	30.26
2008	25.3	1.60	22.21	28.49
2009	22.3	1.31	19.74	24.88
2010	19.3	1.44	16.46	22.11
2011	22.6	1.38	19.86	25.28
2012	26.1	1.20	23.77	28.46
2013	23.2	1.62	20.00	26.34
2014	20.1	1.22	17.70	22.50
2015	18.6	1.16	16.31	20.85

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 1993–2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 2**Standard errors for table 1: Violent victimization, by type of violent crime, 2014 and 2015**

Type of violent crime	Number of victimizations		Rate	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Violent crime	326,328	312,236	1.22	1.16
Rape/sexual assault	48,603	64,514	0.18	0.24
Robbery	82,903	77,405	0.31	0.29
Assault	286,771	269,261	1.08	1.00
Aggravated assault	114,257	96,201	0.43	0.36
Simple assault	237,439	231,742	0.89	0.86
Domestic violence	130,862	66,931	0.49	0.50
Intimate partner violence	89,469	57,792	0.34	0.41
Stranger violence	207,081	84,013	0.78	0.71
Violent crime involving injury	151,608	72,566	0.57	0.57
Serious violent crime	198,751	84,126	0.75	0.71
Serious domestic violence	65,610	43,729	0.25	0.28
Serious intimate partner violence	50,038	37,099	0.19	0.23
Serious stranger violence	116,039	53,564	0.44	0.37
Serious violent crime involving weapons	146,350	63,445	0.55	0.47
Serious violent crime involving injury	94,912	52,300	0.36	0.36

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 3**Estimates and standard errors for figure 2: Nonfatal firearm victimization, 1993–2015**

Year	Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older	Standard error	95% confidence interval	
			Lower bound	Upper bound
1993	7.3	0.72	5.84	8.67
1994	7.4	0.61	6.16	8.56
1995	5.5	0.51	4.54	6.55
1996	5.1	0.52	4.04	6.09
1997	4.7	0.54	3.59	5.73
1998	3.8	0.44	2.90	4.63
1999	2.9	0.39	2.09	3.61
2000	2.7	0.37	1.96	3.42
2001	2.5	0.32	1.83	3.09
2002	2.3	0.36	1.64	3.03
2003	2.0	0.29	1.38	2.53
2004	1.9	0.26	1.38	2.40
2005	2.1	0.31	1.46	2.66
2006	2.5	0.33	1.83	3.14
2007	2.2	0.32	1.59	2.84
2008	1.5	0.26	0.95	1.99
2009	1.6	0.30	1.03	2.20
2010	1.6	0.28	1.07	2.18
2011	1.8	0.28	1.28	2.36
2012	1.8	0.25	1.27	2.25
2013	1.3	0.24	0.79	1.73
2014	1.7	0.27	1.21	2.28
2015	1.1	0.20	0.66	1.46

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 1993–2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 4**Nonfatal firearm violence, 2014 and 2015**

	Estimates		Standard errors		95% confidence interval			
	2014*	2015	2014	2015	2014		2015	
					Lower bound	Upper bound	Lower bound	Upper bound
Firearm incidents	414,700	260,200 ‡	67,207	51,588	282,970	546,422	159,091	361,315
Firearm victimizations	466,110	284,910 ‡	72,678	54,750	323,663	608,563	177,600	392,220
Rate of firearm violence	1.7	1.1 ‡	0.27	0.20	1.21	2.28	0.66	1.46
Percent of firearm victimizations reported to the police	81.9%	76.5%	4.80%	6.64%	72.46%	91.30%	63.45%	89.48%

*Comparison year.

‡Significant difference from comparison year at 90% confidence level.

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 5

Estimates and standard errors for figure 3: Property victimization, 1993–2015

Year	Rate per 1,000 households	Standard error	95% confidence interval	
			Lower bound	Upper bound
1993	351.8	5.56	340.94	362.72
1994	341.2	5.66	330.09	352.27
1995	315.5	5.29	305.10	325.84
1996	289.3	4.33	280.82	297.78
1997	267.1	5.77	255.75	278.37
1998	237.1	5.35	226.65	247.61
1999	210.6	4.77	201.26	219.94
2000	190.4	5.71	179.24	201.62
2001	177.7	4.99	167.94	187.51
2002	168.2	4.17	160.01	176.35
2003	173.4	4.51	164.58	182.24
2004	167.5	3.91	159.85	175.19
2005	159.5	3.97	151.69	167.24
2006	169.0	3.63	161.84	176.09
2007	154.9	3.48	148.12	161.77
2008	142.6	3.66	135.45	149.80
2009	132.6	3.32	126.12	139.12
2010	125.4	2.73	120.06	130.77
2011	138.7	3.50	131.82	145.55
2012	155.8	3.08	149.80	161.87
2013	131.4	2.89	125.77	137.10
2014	118.1	2.60	112.96	123.17
2015	110.7	2.65	105.54	115.91

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 1993–2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 6

Standard errors for table 3: Property victimization, by type of property crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of property crime	Number		Rate	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Total	337,113	349,177	2.60	2.65
Burglary	129,208	136,398	1.00	1.03
Motor vehicle theft	46,911	52,752	0.36	0.40
Theft	290,595	299,739	2.24	2.27

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 7

Estimates and standard errors for figure 4: Percent of victimizations reported to police, 1993–2015

Year	Violent victimizations				Serious violent victimizations				Property victimizations			
	Percent	Standard error	95% confidence interval		Percent	Standard error	95% confidence interval		Percent	Standard error	95% confidence interval	
			Lower bound	Upper bound			Lower bound	Upper bound			Lower bound	Upper bound
1993	42.4%	1.70%	39.09%	45.77%	51.1%	2.40%	46.41%	55.83%	31.8%	0.72%	30.39%	33.23%
1994	40.5	1.41	37.70	43.24	49.7	2.06	45.64	53.72	32.2	0.73	30.75	33.62
1995	40.9	1.50	37.97	43.87	49.9	2.20	45.55	54.19	32.1	0.74	30.64	33.56
1996	40.3	1.68	37.03	43.62	46.3	2.38	41.61	50.95	33.7	0.72	32.30	35.13
1997	41.9	1.89	38.22	45.65	54.4	2.71	49.08	59.69	33.4	0.86	31.74	35.12
1998	45.4	1.92	41.59	49.12	55.0	2.74	49.64	60.36	33.5	0.91	31.70	35.27
1999	43.1	2.05	39.04	47.07	50.4	2.92	44.71	56.15	33.0	0.90	31.24	34.77
2000	46.0	2.24	41.58	50.36	51.9	3.14	45.73	58.02	34.5	1.09	32.34	36.62
2001	49.0	2.13	44.79	53.15	57.5	3.01	51.61	63.41	36.0	1.07	33.95	38.14
2002	50.8	2.54	45.79	55.76	64.8	3.47	57.94	71.57	38.9	1.01	36.95	40.93
2003	47.5	2.31	42.98	52.05	58.4	3.31	51.86	64.85	37.5	1.05	35.48	39.61
2004	50.3	2.15	46.10	54.52	62.8	3.01	56.90	68.71	39.2	0.97	37.30	41.10
2005	45.8	2.37	41.14	50.41	59.0	3.41	52.29	65.66	38.7	1.04	36.70	40.76
2006	46.6	2.16	42.39	50.84	49.1	2.95	43.32	54.90	38.1	0.93	36.23	39.87
2007	44.9	2.48	40.07	49.80	56.0	3.39	49.39	62.70	37.1	0.94	35.23	38.91
2008	46.4	2.58	41.32	51.45	56.1	3.88	48.52	63.72	40.2	1.10	38.09	42.39
2009	44.1	2.91	38.42	49.83	49.5	4.10	41.46	57.54	38.4	1.02	36.40	40.42
2010	51.1	3.02	45.20	57.02	57.3	4.10	49.29	65.37	39.2	0.95	37.34	41.07
2011	49.0	2.55	43.99	53.99	61.3	3.58	54.24	68.29	36.9	1.11	34.71	39.05
2012	44.2	2.15	39.99	48.41	54.4	3.29	47.96	60.87	33.5	0.84	31.88	35.16
2013	45.6	2.84	40.04	51.19	61.0	3.99	53.17	68.82	36.1	0.91	34.26	37.85
2014	46.0	2.62	40.87	51.15	55.8	3.61	48.67	62.84	37.0	0.96	35.10	38.86
2015	46.5	2.79	41.04	51.99	54.9	3.91	47.27	62.62	34.6	0.99	32.61	36.49

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 1993–2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 8

Standard errors for table 4: Percent of victimizations reported to police, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	2014	2015
Violent crime	2.62%	2.79%
Rape/sexual assault	6.66	5.90
Robbery	5.24	5.75
Assault	2.76	2.99
Aggravated assault	4.44	3.01
Simple assault	2.94	3.15
Domestic violence	4.42	4.64
Intimate partner violence	5.37	5.18
Stranger violence	3.52	3.79
Violent crime involving injury	4.12	4.38
Serious violent crime	3.61%	3.91%
Serious domestic violence	6.32	6.28
Serious intimate partner violence	7.43	7.17
Serious stranger violence	4.57	5.48
Serious violent crime involving weapons	4.18	4.84
Serious violent crime involving injury	5.16	5.54
Property crime	0.96%	0.99%
Burglary	1.92	2.02
Motor vehicle theft	3.13	3.84
Theft	0.98	1.03

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 9

Standard errors for table 5: Rate of victimizations reported and not reported to police, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	Reported to police		Not reported to police	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Violent crime	0.85	0.84	0.93	0.89
Rape/sexual assault	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.20
Robbery	0.25	0.24	0.18	0.17
Assault	0.73	0.71	0.83	0.77
Aggravated assault	0.34	0.30	0.26	0.21
Simple assault	0.55	0.57	0.72	0.69
Domestic violence	0.33	0.34	0.27	0.28
Intimate partner violence	0.23	0.27	0.18	0.24
Stranger violence	0.47	0.39	0.48	0.47
Violent crime involving injury	0.38	0.38	0.32	0.31
Serious violent crime	0.50	0.47	0.42	0.40
Serious domestic violence	0.18	0.20	0.13	0.15
Serious intimate partner violence	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.14
Serious stranger violence	0.33	0.24	0.21	0.21
Serious violent crime involving weapons	0.38	0.31	0.30	0.26
Serious violent crime involving injury	0.26	0.25	0.19	0.20
Property crime	1.50	1.44	2.03	2.08
Burglary	0.76	0.69	0.58	0.66
Motor vehicle theft	0.34	0.32	0.14	0.20
Theft	1.11	1.09	1.87	1.87

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 10

Standard errors for table 6: Percent of violent victimizations in which assistance from a victim service agency was received, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015

Type of crime	2014	2015
Violent crime	1.34%	1.32%
Serious violent crime	2.04	2.41
Simple assault	1.48	1.22
Intimate partner violence	4.61%	3.67%
Violent crime involving injury	2.59%	2.95%
Violent crime involving weapon	1.78%	3.09%

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 11

Standard errors for table 7: Rate of violent victimization, by victim demographic characteristics, 2014 and 2015

Victim demographic characteristic	Violent crime		Serious violent crime	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Total	1.22	1.16	0.75	0.71
Sex				
Male	1.83	1.57	0.98	0.76
Female	1.69	1.87	0.86	0.98
Race/Hispanic origin				
White	1.64	1.54	0.80	0.74
Black	2.89	3.02	1.72	1.59
Hispanic	2.17	2.28	1.41	1.31
Other	3.50	3.86	1.75	2.17
Age				
12–17	3.80	4.08	1.72	1.68
18–24	3.32	3.31	2.14	1.91
25–34	3.10	2.70	1.42	1.55
35–49	2.33	2.49	1.29	1.24
50–64	2.04	1.82	1.10	0.99
65 or older	0.72	1.04	0.43	0.48
Marital status				
Never married	2.45	2.43	1.28	1.22
Married	1.29	1.15	0.60	0.57
Widowed	2.06	2.13	1.06	1.12
Divorced	3.77	4.28	2.31	2.26
Separated	9.13	8.01	6.19	5.41
Household income				
\$9,999 or less	5.14	5.48	3.19	3.33
\$10,000–\$14,999	5.18	4.62	3.21	2.74
\$15,000–\$24,999	3.35	3.55	1.64	1.70
\$25,000–\$34,999	2.66	2.44	1.52	1.21
\$35,000–\$49,999	2.41	2.65	1.38	1.33
\$50,000–\$74,999	2.10	2.16	1.02	1.12
\$75,000 or more	1.63	1.52	0.75	0.76

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 12**Standard errors for table 8: Rate of violent and property victimization, by household location, 2014 and 2015**

Household location	Violent crime		Serious violent crime		Property crime	
	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015
Total	1.22	1.16	0.75	0.71	2.60	2.65
Region						
Northeast	2.30	2.24	1.11	1.02	4.24	4.23
Midwest	2.27	2.27	1.16	1.21	4.42	4.38
South	1.94	1.79	1.01	0.88	3.76	3.72
West	2.22	2.38	1.29	1.33	5.23	5.22
Location of residence						
Urban	2.12	2.23	1.19	1.17	4.40	4.32
Suburban	1.70	1.63	0.85	0.83	3.13	3.17
Rural	2.37	2.08	1.21	0.96	4.90	4.90

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 13**Standard errors for table 9: Number of victims and prevalence rate, by type of crime, 2014 and 2015**

Type of crime	Number of persons victimized		Prevalence rate	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Violent crime	112,101	114,796	0.042%	0.041%
Rape/sexual assault	19,745	27,828	0.007	0.010
Robbery	42,962	36,761	0.016	0.014
Assault	104,061	103,323	0.038	0.037
Aggravated assault	47,323	42,526	0.017	0.016
Simple assault	90,454	88,692	0.034	0.032
Domestic violence	46,899	42,869	0.018	0.016
Intimate partner violence	31,777	35,432	0.012	0.013
Stranger violence	63,072	67,100	0.024	0.025
Violent crime involving injury	52,780	55,136	0.020	0.020
Serious violent crime	66,094	60,663	0.025%	0.023%
Serious domestic violence	30,045	27,102	0.011	0.010
Serious intimate partner violence	19,438	20,437	0.007	0.008
Serious stranger violence	43,792	38,517	0.017	0.014
Serious violent crime involving weapons	53,511	41,979	0.020	0.016
Serious violent crime involving injury	36,633	36,972	0.014	0.014
Property crime	230,653	243,226	0.162%	0.166%
Burglary	84,021	88,850	0.065	0.065
Motor vehicle theft	33,095	37,158	0.026	0.028
Theft	205,728	206,731	0.144	0.144

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 14**Standard errors for table 10: Prevalence of violent crime, by victim demographic characteristics, 2014 and 2015**

Victim demographic characteristic	Number of persons victimized		Prevalence rate	
	2014	2015	2014	2015
Total	112,101	114,796	0.042%	0.041%
Sex				
Male	75,109	73,258	0.058%	0.056%
Female	69,928	76,416	0.051	0.053
Race/Hispanic origin				
White	87,113	89,404	0.049%	0.049%
Black	43,208	41,050	0.129	0.121
Hispanic	37,420	41,842	0.084	0.085
Other	24,946	25,170	0.131	0.125
Age				
12–17	41,146	37,288	0.159%	0.141%
18–24	43,521	44,460	0.146	0.144
25–34	42,242	39,818	0.098	0.089
35–49	45,632	49,210	0.075	0.080
50–64	44,218	39,308	0.072	0.062
65 or older	16,670	20,567	0.037	0.044
Marital status				
Never married	82,391	82,175	0.087%	0.085%
Married	47,132	46,990	0.038	0.036
Widowed	13,712	16,233	0.092	0.110
Divorced	33,752	36,696	0.133	0.134
Separated	22,313	14,078	0.439	0.274

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014 and 2015.

APPENDIX TABLE 15**Standard errors for table 12: Distribution of types of rape and sexual assault victimizations, 2006–2015**

Type of rape/sexual assault	Percent
Completed rape	1.92%
Attempted rape	1.71
Sexual assault	1.90
Unwanted sexual contact without force	0.90
Verbal threats of rape and sexual assault	1.55

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, 2006–2015.



The Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice is the principal federal agency responsible for measuring crime, criminal victimization, criminal offenders, victims of crime, correlates of crime, and the operation of criminal and civil justice systems at the federal, state, tribal, and local levels. BJS collects, analyzes, and disseminates reliable and valid statistics on crime and justice systems in the United States, supports improvements to state and local criminal justice information systems, and participates with national and international organizations to develop and recommend national standards for justice statistics. Jeri M. Mulrow is acting director.

This report was written by Jennifer L. Truman and Rachel E. Morgan. Shannan Catalano verified the report.

Monika Potemra and Jill Thomas edited the report. Tina Dorsey and Barbara Quinn produced the report.

October 2016, NCJ 250180



NCJ250180

Office of Justice Programs
Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods
www.ojp.usdoj.gov