

PART VII "DO THE MATH"

FAST FACTS- USING MATH TO EXAMINE THE LIES OF THE 'VICTIM PIMPS'

HOW MUCH DOES THIS CRUSADE CURRENTLY COST AND WHAT WILL IT COST IF COPS ARREST AS MANY 'JOHNS' AS THE ABOLITIONISTS WANT THE COPS TO ARREST, PROSECUTE AND PUNISH (INCARCERATE)? WHO BENEFITS FROM THIS ABSURD CRUSADE? FOLLOW THE MONEY AND THE PEOPLE PUSHING THEIR AGENDA...

"AT WHAT COST?"



Now comes the difficult part of 'doing the math'- calculating both the financial and non-monetary costs of this 'end the demand' crusade to all those whose lives will be negatively impacted by the insane desire to stop adults from wanting adult companionship and sexual intimacy even if it is for pay... (The math is only difficult for those who think that it is possible to 'end the demand' by arresting the men, women and couples who use the services of adults who work in the sex industry)... What costs will be incurred by society as a whole and individuals in particular if we 'amp' up the crusade against consenting adult commercial sex? A look back in history at the prohibition of alcohol and the current 'war on drugs' shows what other areas of life will be impacted by the moral and social busybodies who believe that they have some special right to impose their values on everyone else regardless of the cost. How many innocent people have been collateral damage for the 'drug warriors' who go to the wrong house to execute a search warrant based on the dubious word of a 'confidential informant' - an individual who is allowed to continue to use/ sell drugs as long as he/ she continues to provide information on OTHER, NON INFORMANT folks who may or may not be guilty of the alleged crime?

We currently spend HUNDREDS of billions of dollars arresting, prosecuting and (usually) incarcerating **over one million people a year** [see part V-A page 5] for producing, selling, possessing and ingesting unapproved substances. Prisons and jails are overcrowded and often unsanitary, creating unhealthy conditions for people who will someday rejoin us in society. They will be filled with rage at the injustices inflicted on them because they were arbitrarily selected to be arrested and punished for ingesting unapproved substances into their own bodies. Ironically they could have taken drugs (and probably DID) while incarcerated because the guards who were hired to watch over them frequently offer inmates more and better drugs than inmates could have purchased on the streets. It is much easier to control unruly inmates if they are not going through withdrawal. Unfortunately, the guards also ingest those same unapproved substances, usually without consequence.

The overcrowded conditions in prisons and jails have led to court cases which resulted in judicial rulings mandating the release of many inmates early, including those whose crimes were violent. Now the prostitution abolitionists are demanding that we fill the prisons and jails with **non violent, non abusive men against whom no criminal complaint was filed**- other than by a law enforcement officer who no doubt entrapped the victim of the sting operation. If the crusade to 'end the demand' is to be 'successful' (which it will never be, as we know from countries like China, even though they execute 'pimps') - the demand has not diminished at all- and COPS in China, as in every other country where prostitution is illegal, 'protect' prostitution rings, in effect, becoming the 'pimps,' it would take the arrests of millions of 'johns,' including the very powerful and wealthy, the politicians, cops, judges, lawyers, preachers, priests, and all the other 'pillars of the community' who use the services of sex workers- before the average 'john' would get the message that he might be caught in the net, prosecuted and punished.

This poses several problems, as there are ONLY **765,246** state and local cops [see Part II A, page 10], many of whom are also 'johns'- and each cop can only make, on average, about 14 arrests per year² [see Part II A, page 10]... so, either we will have to hire a lot more- perhaps millions of cops- or we will have to stop enforcing all other laws and demand that the cops we currently employ work 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, no days off, no vacation, no donut breaks, nada. Even then, the likelihood of being able to apprehend more than a very small percentage of 'johns' is slim. How will cops decide which 'johns' to arrest and which to ignore? Perhaps the same way they now decide which sex workers to arrest and which ones to allow to continue to be 'exploited,' and that is by selectively enforcing the law against those 'victims' who do not provide the nice officers with sex, money or information. The cops are not going to ask the 'johns' for those things, rather-they will offer to NOT arrest the 'johns' of the sex workers, if the sex worker provides them with sex, money or information:

This is called "**EXTORTION**" and when cops FORCE themselves on sex workers, it is also "**RAPE**"! Is this an acceptable method of 'protecting' us poor prostitutes from being 'exploited' by our non violent, non abusive clients, employers and associates? A "**Rape us to rescue us**" program????

When a sex worker files a complaint of rape against the predator with a badge, prosecutors are reluctant to prosecute a case against a cop... because... they cannot prove that it wasn't consensual... However, these same prosecutors are determined to prosecute our clients even if we say we CHOOSE to engage in sex work, because they claim **WE CANNOT CONSENT TO BEING 'RAPED'**- which is what prostitution abolitionists consider ALL prostitution to be...

Part of the cost of this "**end the demand crusade**" is what the taxpayers must pay to settle lawsuits filed by sex workers who are victims of predatory rapists with badges, such as LAPD officers James Nichols and Luis Valenzuela who are still employed by the LAPD, DESPITE the city of Los Angeles paying out nearly half a million dollars to one victim of this predatory pair, as well as Alaska cop Anthony Rollins, whose victims number between 20 and 30, and Milwaukee cop Steven Lelinski, Eugene Oregon cop Roger Magaña, and the many, many other cops who rape prostitutes. Sometimes these cops get away with their crimes for decades- until the victims can finally persuade a prosecutor that they did NOT consent to the cop raping them. As long as cops have laws they can use to threaten sex workers with arrest- or the arrest of their non violent 'johns'- cops will continue to rape and extort 'victims' of 'sexual exploitation' and the costs associated with this kind of predatory behavior will continue to rise. Along with sex worker victims of predatory cops, there are the victims of overzealous cops who mistake non sex worker women and children for 'prostitutes'- 'kidnapping' and beating said non sex workers when they protest their innocence:


Dymond Milburn, a 12 year old girl from Galveston, Texas, encountered some undercover vice cops who had been

dispatched to find "three white" females who were suspected of soliciting in the area:

...continued next page

1. Vice laws can only be enforced arbitrarily and selectively because cops must conduct sting operations to 'catch' the violators, which leaves the enforcement of such laws up to the cop who decides which 'perpetrator' to arrest and which perpetrator can become an informant, allowed to continue to break the law in exchange for information on other law breakers... There have been cases where the FBI allows actual murderers to become informants and they do not arrest such criminals, for the most part, committing crimes which have real victims who ask for help (such as rape and sexual assault victims), victims of property crimes, domestic violence etc. the police 'strive' to arrest those predators when the evidence shows they most likely committed the crime.
2. The number of arrests made during any given year comes from the FBI "Crime in the United States" summary page. In previous sections of this document, we've shown the actual number of arrests state by state in 2012, which do not seem to add up to the number of arrests that the FBI claims were made for that year. The FBI also states that the arrest statistics do not include arrests for traffic violations, and there is no apparent location where such information can be found. While it is possible that the cops made MANY arrests for traffic violations, which would take their yearly average up a bit, without knowing how many such arrests were made, we can only conclude that the average number of arrests made per year and per cop was the number of arrests divided by the number of cops - 2012 there were **10,406,746** arrests (that we could find using the FBI's table #5-- of which 17.82% were for REPORTED crimes and 82.18% were for non reported 'crimes') divided by number of cops 765,246 = 14 arrests per cop per year or 1.3 arrests per month per cop. Some states cops made more arrests, but this is the 'average' number of arrests. See updated sections for 2013 arrests, reported crimes etc.

Here's what the "end the demand" crusade looks like in reality:



Can you tell the difference? Because we can't...